Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/618,956	TU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth R. Coulter	2445
Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) Kenneth R. Coulter.	(3)	
(2) Thomas Haverstock (Reg. No. 32,571).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 December 2010	Time: <u>12:02 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 12, and 19		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Kenneth R Coulter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Thomas Haverstock, regarding negative claim limitations in independent claims 1, 12, and 19; and repetitive information in the IDS dated 6/26/09. Applicant's representative agreed to amendments in claims 1, 12, and 19 that will now disclose, for example "and include non-proprietary remote access server software and non-proprietary remote control system software" (claim 1) and similar amendments to claims 12 and 19.